

7th Annual Workshop on Greenhouse Gas Emission Trading

Paris October 9th 2007

ArcelorMittal

Steel industry highlights

- Steel together with cement and wood are the big 3 of the materials used by mankind
- Change in steel consumption is closely linked to economic growth in developing countries
 - 75-99' world production ~ 800 Mt/y
 - Awakening of China since 2000 ⇒ growth steel use 7% per year
 - World production ~ 1250 Mt in 2006 2000 Mt before 2020
- Only 450 Mt/y can be made out of scrap ⇒ Growth to be covered by primary production emitting 2-4 t CO₂/t
- Steel accounts for ~ 6% of World CO₂ emissions

Addressing the Climate Challenge



- The steel industry has to move forward to cut its emissions
 - Ultimately technology will provide the solution (ULCOS & CCS)
 - ETS with absolute Caps lack positive incentive for innovation: high CO₂ cost does not help if competitiveness is affected
- Steel industry needs policies that are likely to become Global
 - Developing countries will not accept a cap on their activity
 - Developing countries need policies to move them in the right direction without distorting global markets

Paving the Future - ULCOS



- Breakthrough technologies for <u>Ultra Low CO₂ Steelmaking</u>
 - European project with 48 partners, part of IISI worldwide project
 - Targeting at least 50% reduction of primary iron emissions
 - 59 M€ for a 5 year program initiated in 2005
- Four solutions selected for further study
 - New Direct Reduction + CCS
 - New Blast furnace + CCS
 - Smelting Reduction + CCS
 - Electrolysis Electricity based
- Pilot/demonstration phase starting 2010 (?)>x100 M€ per route
- Solutions will be (?)>50 €/t more expensive than today How to finance?

Problems with Absolute Caps on CO₂



- **Distorts competition**: between industries & materials with different cycles
- Loss of competitiveness of affected industry vis-à-vis third countries
- Failure to effectively reduce emissions
 - Absolute Caps only target direct emissions results in delocalisation of emissions outside the trading space and increased global GHG emissions
- Failure to reward improvements or recognise past efforts
- No sustainable incentive for innovation
- Operational difficulties due to the allocation system
- Huge and unjustified increase of electricity costs
- Unattractive to 3rd countries therefore **unlikely to be globalised**

Going Global – Sectoral Approach



- Industry was asked to think about a sector wide approach to help reduce the CO₂ problem in a cost effective way
- EU steel industry worked three years to develop a proposal supported by all steel makers
- Basis of every policy is a tool to compare and identify the most CO₂ efficient ways of making steel
 - Complexity of steel production routes sets a challenge
 - A sensible approach needs to address:
 - CO_2 not Energy CO_2 inefficiencies are too easy to hide
 - Indirect & Upstream emissions 'Simple' benchmarking doesn't work
 - Recycled & Primary steels need a separate treatment
- Development of a generally accepted baseline calculation model is a significant achievement



Difficulties comparing performances



- A one step process producing a single product can be easily benchmarked
- Steel is the result of a chain of processes
 - Influencing each other
 - With many indirect emissions on different stages
 - Using different technologies
 - Producing simultaneously several coproducts deserving to be credited
- To compare, the entire production chain is to be integrated
 - The individual contribution needs to be compared to a 'baseline'

Paris October 9th 2007

Three rules for the 'baseline' calculation



1. Every product has a unique upstream CO₂ value corresponding to the average performance of the group

Electricity: 370 kg CO₂/MWh; Steam: 180 kg CO₂/t; Pellets: 115 kg CO₂/t; DRI: 760 kg CO₂/t; Burnt lime: 1 150 kg CO₂/t...

2. by-products, substituting other products:

- Energetic by-products: the real CO₂ emission when using the product except when it is higher than the emission of the substituted product BF-gas: emits 270 kg CO₂/GJ and replaces Nat. gas 56 kg CO₂/GJ => BF-gas receives 56 kg CO₂/GJ; pig iron is charged with 214 kg CO₂/GJ
- 2. Material by-products: the effective CO2 cost when **producing** one marginal unit except when it is higher than the emission of the substituted product granulated slag replaces clinker costing 900 kg $CO_2/t => BF$ -slag receives 550 kg CO_2/t

crystallized slag replaces granulates costing 0 kg $CO_2/t \Rightarrow$ BF-slag receives 0 kg CO_2/t ; pig iron is charged with 550 kg CO_2/t slag

3. Wastes have no upstream

Material recuperated containing fossil carbon that is destroyed in the process are charged with their entire fossil CO_2 potential (plastics, tires)

Paris October 9th 2007

 $7^{\mbox{\tiny th}}$ Annual Worksop on GHG ET

Characteristics of the Baseline System



- A mandatory emission trading scheme for the steel sector
- **Baseline is the weighted average** in terms of emissions per tonne of production of the total sector (performance of overall output vs. individual activity)
- System includes **all emissions**, both direct and indirect
 - The baseline can serve as the basis for the allocation of allowances
 - The evolution of the baseline could also be targeted
- Performance of each operator is compared against the baseline
 - As long as they perform worse than the baseline operators must pay for allocations traded from operators performing better than the baseline
- Offers a clear incentive to invest in improvements
 - Operators receive a clear and understandable signal on the direction to follow
- Provides a big **incentive for innovation**
- Linking with existing trading systems could greatly simplify implementation & enhance efficiency

Baseline System: Functioning



ArcelorMittal





Conclusions

- Steel industry developed a proposal in great detail which is workable and has great potential
- It is encouraging that sectoral approaches have become part of the debate now
 - It is generally recognized now that global commodities need an adapted approach
- Developing countries seem open to the approach: no limit to growth positive incentive for good performance
 - It will take an international leader to get developing countries onboard
- Baseline system could be linked to systems with an absolute Cap if a solution is found for changes in activity & indirect/direct

Thank you for your attention ArcelorMittal