



W. David Montgomery Anne E. Smith

Enormous Technology Shifts Are Required to Achieve Policy Goal of GHG Concentration Stabilization

- Policy goals
 - Stabilize global temperature
 - Stabilize GHG concentration
- Implications for emissions
 - Ultimately, net <u>global</u> greenhouse gas emissions must fall by nearly 100% from their projected "business as usual" levels
- To gain agreement on policies, energy must remain affordable, especially for poorer countries
 - Current technology and evolutionary improvements cannot plausibly reduce global emissions to zero at acceptable cost
- R&D to provide breakthrough technologies is needed

Emissions Trajectories Consistent With Various Atmospheric CO₂ Concentration Ceilings





2

Important Conditions for Motivating R&D and New Technology Deployment

• For both R&D and technology deployment decisions:

- Expectation that policy will remain in place over long time period
- Firm understanding of CO₂ price levels into future (if policy is market-based)



IMPERMANENCE AND UNCERTAINTY ARE ANATHEMA

- Also important for R&D:
 - Certainty that investor will obtain/retain intellectual property rights
 - Expectation that carbon prices will remain high enough to repay the investment in R&D as well as motivate technology adoption





EU's ETS: A Case Study in Poor Deployment Incentives



The Problem Is More General -- No Carbon Pricing System Can Provide Credible Incentives for Long-Term R&D

- "Safety valve" and other approaches that directly target and manage the future course of CO₂ prices:
 - Greatly reduce day to day price volatility
 - Greatly increase certainty on long-term evolution of CO₂ prices
 - Enable an emissions policy with greater political permanence
- The above attributes would be very beneficial to decisions to deploy new technologies
- But these attributes probably do not provide sufficient incentives for the necessary types of R&D that will make near-zero CO₂ emissions an affordable outcome for the global economy



GHG R&D Faces "Twice" the Incentive Challenges of Traditional R&D

 Private sector R&D is motivated by profits to be earned from successful innovation, which has 2 components:



Rights to intellectual property are not rights to a specific price level



Why Price Incentives Work Poorly for GHG-Related R&D



- For GHGs, price reflects a policy choice, not a physical scarcity
 - Announced carbon price must be high enough that the investor expects to profit from *developing* the technology
 - Once the technology is developed, the carbon price only needs to cover costs of using the technology to bring about adoption
 - Since high carbon prices have other undesirable economic impacts, the optimal choice is to surprise the inventor by *reducing* the announced price *after* the technology is available
- Therefore, a future carbon price sufficient to make R&D on climate technologies profitable is inherently not credible



GHGs Pose Challenges to the Intellectual Property Rights Part of the R&D Incentives Equation Too



- Impossibility of patenting the kinds of scientific advances required
- Integration of many incremental innovations ("cumulative innovation")
- Very long time frames for potential payback (may exceed period of patents or credible licensing terms)
- Need for global deployment

(transfer to all countries without reducing intellectual property rights protection will be difficult)



CO₂ Pricing Is a Poor Device to Motivate the Kind of R&D that Is Needed to Stabilize GHG Concentrations





A Painful Conclusion

- The great merit of market systems is getting government out of decisions about how to reduce GHG emissions
- Now we have to put government back into the business of R&D
- Challenge is to do so in a way that
 - Builds on the ability of carbon pricing to stimulate the adoption of new technologies
 - Does not turn into an excuse for subsidizing *deployment* of new technologies – which the market can sort out perfectly well when there is a price on carbon
 - Enables the private sector to make the choices, bears the risks, and gain the rewards from R&D



Governments Should Concentrate On Providing Credible and Irreversible Incentives for Private Sector R&D

- Traditional incentives subsidize cost of research *inputs*
 - Tax credits for R&D
 - Research grants or contracts to businesses and universities
 - Direct funding for government laboratories and other research facilities
- Alternatives emulate what emission pricing cannot do reward outputs of research based on their contribution to reducing emissions
 - Have a number of advantages over traditional incentives
 - Can take different forms
- Prizes are the clearest example of a reward for outputs -- success in R&D
 - Prizes put the incentives, decisions, and risks in the right place
 - How can that be done across the board for R&D?



Other Issues In Designing R&D Policy Compatible With Reliance on Carbon Prices for Technology Deployment

- Alternatives to IP to reward innovation (e.g., prizes, contests ?)
- Role models for successful government funding of basic research (e.g., Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency ?)
- Incentive-compatible schemes for publicprivate partnerships (e.g., matching funds ? -- but <u>not</u> subsidies)
- Global spillover problems for publiclyfunded R&D

(e.g., international R&D collaboration ?)

 Incentives for global deployment/ technology transfer (...?) Little is understood; little has been discussed

We urgently need answers to these questions – we don't avoid them by creating an emission trading system





US Offices: Boston, Washington DC, New York, Chicago, Houston, Philadelphia, Salt Lake City, Cambridge, College Station, Dallas, Oakland, Silicon Valley

International Offices: London, Brussels, Toronto, Mexico City, Wellington, Melbourne, Mexico City, Sydney, Dubai, Hong Kong

